

70A-CE-3668

Continuation of FD-302 of

JIMMY B. BRITT

, On 12/16/2005 , Page

2

habits and that he had caught [redacted] at a HOLIDAY INN in New Bern, North Carolina with a female co-worker. BRITT stated that [redacted] had an intimate relationship with this co-worker. BRITT stated that he could not imagine an attorney committing a forgery like [redacted] had. BRITT advised that a federal grand jury never heard the case against [redacted]

b6
b7c

SA [redacted] assured BRITT that [redacted] would be interviewed concerning BRITT's allegations. BRITT stated that [redacted] was not worthy of even being interviewed. BRITT stated that [redacted] was a "damn crook". BRITT stated that if the information on the 15 counts of forgery ever got out to the public then it would "blow the roof off of the 8th floor". It was noted that the UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE for the EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA (EDNC) was located on the 8th floor of the SANFORD FEDERAL BUILDING, Raleigh, NC. BRITT stated again that the USAO had not done anything to prosecute [redacted]. BRITT stated that MARGARET CURRIN had been the U. S. Attorney at the time of the forgeries committed by [redacted]. BRITT upon questioning stated that he had no names or details concerning [redacted] clients that were involved on these 15 forgeries.

This interview was terminated with BRITT stating that the agents should contact [redacted] for further interview.

b6
b7cb6
b7c

- 1 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/19/2005

JIMMY B. BRITT, DOB: 09/25/1938, Social Security Account Number 241-58-3764, White Male, was interviewed. BRITT was aware of the identities of the interviewing agents and was made aware of the nature of the interview:

BRITT was advised that the interviewing agents had contacted Attorney [redacted] as instructed previously by BRITT in order to arrange in depth interview with BRITT. BRITT was told that [redacted] stated he was not BRITT's attorney. BRITT replied that he now knew this and that [redacted] had referred BRITT's case over to Attorney [redacted] telephone number [redacted]. BRITT advised that [redacted] also represented [redacted]. Interviewing agents again requested an in depth interview of BRITT. BRITT advised the agents to contact [redacted] in order to arrange such an interview.

b6
b7c

BRITT stated he did not understand why the interviewing agents needed to interview him since he had provided a statement under oath. SA [redacted] explained that when allegations are made the normal primary investigative step is to interview in full the individual making the allegations. It was explained that according to BRITT's written statement only two individuals were present during the interview of [redacted] BRITT and [redacted]. Since BRITT was accusing [redacted] then an interview of BRITT was in order.

b6
b7c

BRITT became noticeably angered. BRITT stated that [redacted] credibility was in serious question and that [redacted] had been "all over" the media calling BRITT a liar. BRITT advised the interviewers to check with retired Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent (SA) [redacted] about BRITT. BRITT stated that SA [redacted] would vouch for BRITT's character. BRITT stated he would submit to a full interview if the interviewing agents could tell BRITT why [redacted] was never prosecuted federally for forging United States District Court Judge [redacted] signature on 15 items. BRITT wanted to know why [redacted] was never indicted federally. BRITT stated that "when you find out then I'll talk to you". BRITT stated that his opinion was that some type of deal was worked out. BRITT stated that if the Government wants to prosecute someone then they should prosecute [redacted]. BRITT stated that [redacted] was not fit to be the UNITED STATES ATTORNEY. BRITT stated that he knew [redacted]

b6
b7c

353 [redacted] 01.302

Investigation on 12/16/2005 at Raleigh, NC (telephonically)File # 70A-CE-3668 Date dictated 12/19/2005by SA [redacted]
SA [redacted]b6
b7c